

Discussion of:
“AI, human capital and productivity”
“The Employment Impact of Emerging Digital Technologies”

Fabiano Schivardi, LUISS University

The Economics of Data and Digital Infrastructures

Luiss University. February 5th 2026

Acknowledgments and Disclaimer

- Two excellent and important papers — highly recommended

Acknowledgments and Disclaimer

- Two excellent and important papers — highly recommended
- Over 150 pages for the poor discussant: any mistake in the interpretation is mine, any insight is theirs

Paper 1: Flavio Calvino, Hélder Costa, Daniel Haerle

What the paper shows (among many other things):

- Advanced technologies—ATs—(AI, big data, IoT, robotics) build on **enabling ICTs**
 - Enablers: cloud, ERP/CRM, fast broadband

Paper 1: Flavio Calvino, Hélder Costa, Daniel Haerle

What the paper shows (among many other things):

- Advanced technologies—ATs—(AI, big data, IoT, robotics) build on **enabling ICTs**
 - Enablers: cloud, ERP/CRM, fast broadband
- Adoption patterns echo those seen the ICT revolution:
 - firm size
 - human capital
 - organizational / managerial capabilities (in this paper, less clear)

Paper 1: Flavio Calvino, Hélder Costa, Daniel Haerle

What the paper shows (among many other things):

- Advanced technologies—ATs—(AI, big data, IoT, robotics) build on **enabling ICTs**
 - Enablers: cloud, ERP/CRM, fast broadband
- Adoption patterns echo those seen the ICT revolution:
 - firm size
 - human capital
 - organizational / managerial capabilities (in this paper, less clear)

Key messages:

1. ATs adoption is sequential, not plug-and-play

Paper 1: Flavio Calvino, Hélder Costa, Daniel Haerle

What the paper shows (among many other things):

- Advanced technologies—ATs—(AI, big data, IoT, robotics) build on **enabling ICTs**
 - Enablers: cloud, ERP/CRM, fast broadband
- Adoption patterns echo those seen the ICT revolution:
 - firm size
 - human capital
 - organizational / managerial capabilities (in this paper, less clear)

Key messages:

1. ATs adoption is sequential, not plug-and-play
2. We can draw insights from what we learned from the previous generation of ICT technologies

The key question: Who Adopts—and Who Should?

- If AI requires enablers, but what determined **enabler adoption**?
 - Is it the technology itself or a set of ultimate observed or unobserved firm characteristics?

The key question: Who Adopts—and Who Should?

- If AI requires enablers, but what determined **enabler adoption**?
 - Is it the technology itself or a set of ultimate observed or unobserved firm characteristics?
- Crucial for policy interpretation:
 - If firm characteristics, some firms may be optimally non-adopters
 - Subsidizing adoption (even of previous generation DTs) without addressing the “deep determinants” might even reduce productivity

Evaluating the benefits of adoption

- In fact, independently from causality, productivity effects are estimated on from adopters
 - extrapolation to non-adopters might overstate aggregate gains

Evaluating the benefits of adoption

- In fact, independently from causality, productivity effects are estimated on from adopters
 - extrapolation to non-adopters might overstate aggregate gains
- ICT evidence: technology without complements can lower performance
 - Schivardi and Schmitz 2020 on IT adoption in Souther Europe, with worse managerial practices

Evaluating the benefits of adoption

- In fact, independently from causality, productivity effects are estimated on from adopters
 - extrapolation to non-adopters might overstate aggregate gains
- ICT evidence: technology without complements can lower performance
 - Schivardi and Schmitz 2020 on IT adoption in Souther Europe, with worse managerial practices
- Low adoption may reflect lack of readiness, not market failure

Policy and productivity implications

- The literature shows that firms need to “embrace” ATs rather than simply adopting them

Policy and productivity implications

- The literature shows that firms need to “embrace” ATs rather than simply adopting them
- Much more difficult tasks

Policy and productivity implications

- The literature shows that firms need to “embrace” ATs rather than simply adopting them
- Much more difficult tasks
- Two complementary levers:
 1. Build SME capabilities (skills, management, organization...)

Policy and productivity implications

- The literature shows that firms need to “embrace” ATs rather than simply adopting them
- Much more difficult tasks
- Two complementary levers:
 1. Build SME capabilities (skills, management, organization...)
 2. Develop SME-compatible technologies (*appropriated technologies* in the development literature language): technologies less reliant on the assets that are less present in SMEs

Policy and productivity implications

- The literature shows that firms need to “embrace” ATs rather than simply adopting them
- Much more difficult tasks
- Two complementary levers:
 1. Build SME capabilities (skills, management, organization...)
 2. Develop SME-compatible technologies (*appropriated technologies* in the development literature language): technologies less reliant on the assets that are less present in SMEs
- On education: Important to increase college education, but supply alone is not enough:
 - without firm demand \Rightarrow brain drain.

Policy and productivity implications

- The literature shows that firms need to “embrace” ATs rather than simply adopting them
- Much more difficult tasks
- Two complementary levers:
 1. Build SME capabilities (skills, management, organization...)
 2. Develop SME-compatible technologies (*appropriated technologies* in the development literature language):
technologies less reliant on the assets that are less present in SMEs
- On education: Important to increase college education, but supply alone is not enough:
 - without firm demand \Rightarrow brain drain.
- The elephant in the room is **each country's productive structure** (size, management, HK, governance...) which is not easily amenable to policy intervention.

Paper 2: Ekaterina Prytkova, Fabien Petit, Deyu Li, Sugat Chaturvedi, Tommaso Ciarli

- Measure exposure to many emerging digital technologies (not just AI/robots) at the local level in Europe (Nuts 2)

Paper 2: Ekaterina Prytkova, Fabien Petit, Deyu Li, Sugat Chaturvedi, Tommaso Ciarli

- Measure exposure to many emerging digital technologies (not just AI/robots) at the local level in Europe (Nuts 2)
- Use similarity in patents and description of sectors/occupations. A region is more exposed the higher employment in sectors/occupations similar to AT patents

Paper 2: Ekaterina Prytkova, Fabien Petit, Deyu Li, Sugat Chaturvedi, Tommaso Ciarli

- Measure exposure to many emerging digital technologies (not just AI/robots) at the local level in Europe (Nuts 2)
- Use similarity in patents and description of sectors/occupations. A region is more exposed the higher employment in sectors/occupations similar to AT patents
- Important: exposure is **ex-ante neutral**: no substitute/complement assumption, as in influential previous work (Webb 2019)

Paper 2: Ekaterina Prytkova, Fabien Petit, Deyu Li, Sugat Chaturvedi, Tommaso Ciarli

- Measure exposure to many emerging digital technologies (not just AI/robots) at the local level in Europe (Nuts 2)
- Use similarity in patents and description of sectors/occupations. A region is more exposed the higher employment in sectors/occupations similar to AT patents
- Important: exposure is **ex-ante neutral**: no substitute/complement assumption, as in influential previous work (Webb 2019)
- Ex-post: estimate employment effects & classify technologies as saving / neutral / augmenting

Paper 2: Ekaterina Prytkova, Fabien Petit, Deyu Li, Sugat Chaturvedi, Tommaso Ciarli

- Measure exposure to many emerging digital technologies (not just AI/robots) at the local level in Europe (Nuts 2)
- Use similarity in patents and description of sectors/occupations. A region is more exposed the higher employment in sectors/occupations similar to AT patents
- Important: exposure is **ex-ante neutral**: no substitute/complement assumption, as in influential previous work (Webb 2019)
- Ex-post: estimate employment effects & classify technologies as saving / neutral / augmenting

Identification and interpretation of results

- **Key result: exposure leads to net employment gains**
 - An optimistic view of the effects of ADTs!
 - Stress the importance of complementarities

Identification and interpretation of results

- **Key result: exposure leads to net employment gains**
 - An optimistic view of the effects of ADTs!
 - Stress the importance of complementarities
- Identification: Shift-share Bartik IV

Identification and interpretation of results

- **Key result: exposure leads to net employment gains**
 - An optimistic view of the effects of ADTs!
 - Stress the importance of complementarities
- Identification: Shift-share Bartik IV
- What does exposure measure?
 - Not directly adoption: no evidence of that in the paper
 - So, we cannot conclude that adoption of ADT increases technologies
 - Rather, exposure captures in a reduced form GEs and indirect effects: e.g., exposed activities increased worldwide, increasing the labor demand independently from adoption

Identification and interpretation of results

- **Key result: exposure leads to net employment gains**
 - An optimistic view of the effects of ADTs!
 - Stress the importance of complementarities
- Identification: Shift-share Bartik IV
- What does exposure measure?
 - Not directly adoption: no evidence of that in the paper
 - So, we cannot conclude that adoption of ADT increases technologies
 - Rather, exposure captures in a reduced form GEs and indirect effects: e.g., exposed activities increased worldwide, increasing the labor demand independently from adoption
- Next paper: an IV analysis of employment on adoption, instrumented with exposure

Other comments

- Compare your ex-post results with these ex-ante of the previous literature: does it overestimate the employment effects of AI?
 - Webb assumes that similarity means substitutability, is this fundamentally wrong? Can we use your results to train LLMs to identify labor augmenting technologies?

Other comments

- Compare your ex-post results with these ex-ante of the previous literature: does it overestimate the employment effects of AI?
 - Webb assumes that similarity means substitutability, is this fundamentally wrong? Can we use your results to train LLMs to identify labor augmenting technologies?
- I had some problems reconciling the overall effects with those by subgroups:
 - The overall positive effects are not clearly reflected at the sectoral level, were most coefficients are negative
 - Positive overall effects for skilled workers, but negative when considering labor augmenting technologies